

Patent and Irausmark Cities

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, O.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 07/416,656 10/03/89 BORDER W P318600 EXAMINER SAUNDERS, D PRETTY, SCHROEDER, BRUEGGEMANN & CLARK PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 444 SOUTH FLOWER STREET, STE 2000 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 11 182 DATE MAILED: 07/03/91 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on_____ __ This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _____ month(s), _30 _ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION _____ are pending in the application. 1. V Claims are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claims have been cancelled. 2. Claims are allowed. 4. Claims __ ___ are objected to. 5. Claims 6. Claims 1-18 are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ______. has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ____ 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received ___ ; filed on ____ been filed in parent application, serial no. 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial No. 416656
Art Unit 182

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 2 and 7, drawn to body treatment methods with antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 85.8.
- II. Claims 3 and 8, drawn to body treatment methods with PDGF, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
- JII. Claims 4 and 9, drawn to body treatment methods with a peptide, classified in Class 514, subclass 18.
- IV. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to dection of TGF-B in tissues, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.21.
- V. Claims 16-18, drawn to antibodies and cells producing antibodies, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.

Body treatment claims 1, 5, 6, 10 and 13-15 are generic, and a species elected from Groups I-III must be elected for examination of these claims.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I-III involve differing compositions; consideration of the use of each of these requires a different prior art search and differing considerations with respect to utility, enablement, obviousness motivation and secondary considerations related to unobviousness.

Group IV appears to involve a different use of one of the compositions employed in Groups II-III. Again there would be a

-3-

Serial No. 416656

Art Unit 182

different search and differing considerations related to enablement and obviousness.

The antibody composition of Group V could be sued for purposes other than those of Groups I-IV. For example, the antibody could be used on an affinity matrix for the isolation of TGF-B.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. .

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Saunders at telephone number (703) 308-0196.

DAVID SAUNDERS PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 182

David a. Sunder

Saunders/LG June 07, 1991